Periodic Trends Jigsaw Rubric

Criteria	Grading Scale			
Appropriate Use of Time Ability to stay on task Note: Playing or texting on phone will result in an automatic zero for this portion of the rubric.	Excellent - Used time well during each class period. Focused on getting the project done. Always on task.	8 Good - Used time well during each class period. Usually focused on getting the project done and was on task most of the time.	Satisfactory - Used some of the time well during each class period. There was some focus on getting the project done but occasionally got off task.	3 Needs Improvement - Did not use class time to focus on the project AND/OR was often off task.
Working with Others Collaboration efforts	Excellent - Encouraged group members to work together, listened and shared ideas with the group.	8 Good - Completed individual tasks and listened to ideas of others but did not share own ideas	6 Satisfactory - Completed individual task with minimal effort; worked by self instead of with group	Needs Improvement - Rarely listens to, shares with, and supports the efforts of others. Always off task.
Pride Individual effort	10 Excellent - Work reflects the student's best efforts.	8 Good - Work reflects a strong effort from the student.	6 Satisfactory - Work reflects some effort from the student.	3 Needs Improvement - Work reflects very little effort on the part of the student.
Student Knowledge Gained Personal level of understanding for assigned trend	15 Excellent - Student can accurately answer 90% or greater of the questions related to facts about the assigned trend.	Good - Student can accurately answer 80% of the questions related to facts about the assigned trend.	6 Satisfactory - Student can accurately answer about 70% of questions related to facts about the assigned trend.	3 Needs Improvement - Student appears to have insufficient knowledge about the facts related to the assigned trend.
Google Presentation - trend down the table Chemistry SOL Standard CH.2.d families or groups;	15 Excellent - Presentation showed how periodic trend changed within a group or family and gave several examples.		6 Satisfactory - Presentation explained how periodic trend changed within a group or family, but did not give examples.	Needs Improvement - Presentation did not show how the periodic trend changed within groups or families.
Google Presentation - trend across the table Chemistry SOL Standard CH.2.e periods;	changed within a period	10 Good - Presentation showed how periodic trend changed within a period and gave one example.	Presentation explained	3 Needs Improvement - Presentation did not show how the periodic trend changed within a period.
Class Knowledge Gained Class knowledge will be based on average Kahoot score. Chemistry SOL Standard CH.2.e trends including atomic radii, electronegativity, shielding effect, and ionization energy;	Excellent - Presentation and Kahoot accurately defined trend so that >80% of classmates understand how this trend relates to the periodic table.	10 Good - Presentation and Kahoot defined trend so that 70-80% of classmates understand how this trend relates to the periodic table.	6 Satisfactory - Presentation and Kahoot somewhat defined trend; only 60-70% of classmates understand how this trend relates to the periodic table.	3 Needs Improvement - Presentation did not define the assigned trend and/ or did not show how the periodic trend relates to periodic table. Classmates scored <60%.
Individual Participation During Other Group Presentation	10 Excellent – Listened intently, took notes, and tried to score well on Kahoot.	8 Good – Listened and tried to score well on Kahoot, but did not take notes.	6 Satisfactory – Listened a bit, but did not take notes and did not seem to care about Kahoot grade.	3 Needs Improvement – Does not appear to be listening and made distracting noises or movements. Did not participate in Kahoot.